Lecture 8

Inference of Probabilistic Graphical Models

Instructor: Shibo Li

shiboli@cs.fsu.edu

Department of Computer Science
Florida State University

Outline

- Bayesian networks
- Markov random fields
- Inference

- Compute the posterior of one or more subsets of nodes given the observed nodes



$$p(y) = \sum_{x'} p(y|x')p(x') \qquad p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

The Task

- Compute the posterior of one or more subsets of nodes given the observed nodes



$$p(y) = \sum_{x'} p(y|x')p(x') \qquad p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

Key: compute the marginal of one or a subset of nodes!

Let's Start with a Chain



Let's Start with a Chain



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Let's Start with a Chain

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Each node takes *K* states and so each potential is a *K x K* table

Let's Start with a Chain

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Each node takes *K* states and so each potential is a *K x K* table

Let us consider to infer the marginal of a node x_n

Let's Start with a Chain



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Each node takes *K* states and so each potential is a *K x K* table

Let us consider to infer the marginal of a node x_n

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{n-1}}\sum_{x_{n+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_N} p(\mathbf{x})$$

Let's Start with a Chain



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Each node takes *K* states and so each potential is a *K x K* table

Let us consider to infer the marginal of a node x_n

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{n-1}}\sum_{x_{n+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_N} p(\mathbf{x})$$

How much cost?

Let's Start with a Chain



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

Each node takes *K* states and so each potential is a *K x K* table

Let us consider to infer the marginal of a node x_n

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{n-1}}\sum_{x_{n+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_N} p(\mathbf{x})$$

How much cost?

$O(K*K^{N-1})$

Let's Start with a Chain



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3)\cdots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{x_1}\cdots\sum_{x_{n-1}}\sum_{x_{n+1}}\cdots\sum_{x_N} p(\mathbf{x})$$

How to reduce the cost?

Key observations: many terms are repeated in the calculation, so we can use the distributive law to save products and sums

$$a_1b_1 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_2b_2 = a_1(b_1 + b_2) + a_2(b_1 + b_2) = (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)$$

Let's Start with a Chain

$$p(x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{x_{n-1}} \psi_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdots \left[\sum_{x_2} \psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3) \left[\sum_{x_1} \psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \right] \right] \cdots \right]}_{\mu_\alpha(x_n)} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{x_{n+1}} \psi_{n,n+1}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdots \left[\sum_{x_N} \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \right] \cdots \right]}_{\mu_\beta(x_n)}$$

Let's Start with a Chain

$$p(x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{x_{n-1}} \psi_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdots \left[\sum_{x_2} \psi_{2,3}(x_2, x_3) \left[\sum_{x_1} \psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \right] \right] \cdots \right]}_{\mu_\alpha(x_n)} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{x_{n+1}} \psi_{n,n+1}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdots \left[\sum_{x_N} \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \right] \cdots \right]}_{\mu_\beta(x_n)}$$



Recursively,



$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\alpha(x_n) &= \sum_{x_{n-1}} \psi_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \left[\sum_{x_{n-2}} \cdots \right] \\ &= \sum \psi_{n-1,n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) \mu_\alpha(x_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\beta(x_n) &= \sum_{x_{n+1}} \psi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1}, x_n) \left[\sum_{x_{n+2}} \cdots \right] \\ &= \sum \psi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1}, x_n) \mu_\beta(x_{n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Initial Message,



$$\mu_\alpha(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} \psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \qquad \mu_\beta(x_{N-1}) = \sum_{x_N} \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

$$p(x_n) = \frac{1}{Z}\mu_\alpha(x_n)\mu_\beta(x_n)$$

Question: What is Z?

$$Z = \sum_{x_n} \mu_\alpha(x_n)\mu_\beta(x_n)$$

Summary: inference on a chain,

- To compute local marginals:
  - Compute and store all forward messages, $\mu_\alpha(x_n)$
  - Compute and store all backward messages, $\mu_\beta(x_n)$
  - Compute Z at any node x_m
  - Compute

$$p(x_n) = \frac{1}{Z}\mu_\alpha(x_n)\mu_\beta(x_n)$$

for all variables required

What is the cost? *O(NK²)*

Question: how to infer the marginal of two neighboring variables?

Let us generalize the idea to trees

Tree-structured MRF



Tree-structured Bayesian network



Why trees: tree structures can guarantee exact inference (we will see it later)

Factor graphs - bipartite graphs



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_1, x_2) f_c(x_2, x_3) f_d(x_3)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_s f_s(\mathbf{x}_s)$$

Factor graphs - multiple choices



$$p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1)p(x_2)p(x_3|x_1, x_2)$$



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = p(x_1)p(x_2)p(_3|x_1, x_2)$$



$$f_a(x_1) = p(x_1)$$

$$f_b(x_2) = p(x_2)$$

$$f_c(x_1, x_2, x_3) = p(x_3|x_1, x_2)$$

Factor graphs for undirected graphs



$$\psi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$



$$f_a(x_1, x_2, x_3) f_b(x_2, x_3) = \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

Overview: The Sum-Product Algorithm

- Objective:
  - efficient, exact inference to find marginals
  - When several marginals are required, allow computations to be shared

Key idea: Distributive Law

$$a_1b_1 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_2b_2 = a_1(b_1 + b_2) + a_2(b_1 + b_2) = (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)$$

Given a tree-structured graphical model



$$p(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \setminus x} p(\mathbf{x})$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} F_s(x, X_s)$$

ne(x): factor nodes that are neighbors of *x*

X_s: variables in the subtree that connect to *x* via the factor node f_s



$$p(x) = \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right]$$

Why this is true?

$$= \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x).$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$



$$p(x) = \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right]$$

Why this is true?

$$= \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x).$$

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

The Sum-Product Algorithm



$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$F_s(x, X_s) = f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) G_1(x_1, X_{s1}) \ldots G_M(x_M, X_{sM})$$

X_{sm} : variables in the subtree that connect to x *first* through x_m and *then* through the factor node f_s

Different X_{sm} do not overlap. Why?

The Sum-Product Algorithm



$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$F_s(x, X_s) = f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) G_1(x_1, X_{s1}) \ldots G_M(x_M, X_{sM})$$

distribute the summation

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x) &= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_M} f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \mathrm{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \left[\sum_{X_{xm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_M} f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \mathrm{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) \end{aligned}$$



$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \equiv \sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s)$$

$$F_s(x, X_s) = f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) G_1(x_1, X_{s1}) \ldots G_M(x_M, X_{sM})$$

distribute the summation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) &= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_M} f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \mathrm{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \left[\sum_{X_{xm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) \right] \\
&= \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_M} f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \mathrm{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \boxed{\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)}
\end{aligned}$$

Message from a variable node to a factor node



How to compute the message from a variable to a factor?

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) \equiv \sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm})$$

Very similar to how we compute p(x), but with a small difference

The Sum-Product Algorithm



$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) \equiv \sum_{X_{sm}} G_m(x_m, X_{sm}) = \sum_{X_{sm}} \prod_{l \in \text{ne}(x_m) \setminus f_s} F_l(x_m, X_{ml})$$

$$= \prod_{l \in \text{ne}(x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$

- Now we have two message passing rules
  - From a factor node to a variable node

$$\mu_{f_s \to x}(x) = \sum_{x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_M} f_s(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_{m \in \mathrm{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m)$$

  - From a variable node to a factor node

$$\mu_{x_m \to f_s}(x_m) = \prod_{l \in \mathrm{ne}(x_m) \setminus f_s} \mu_{f_l \to x_m}(x_m)$$

Alternately pass messages!

- Initial messages on the leaves





The Sum-Product Algorithm

- How to conduct the order of message passing?

  1. Pick an arbitrary node as the root

  2. Compute and propagate messages *from the leaf nodes to the root*, and store received messages at every node

  3. Compute and propagate messages *from the root the leaf nodes*, storing the messages at every node

- After the message passing done, how to compute the marginals?

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x) &= \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \left[\sum_{X_s} F_s(x, X_s) \right] \\
&= \prod_{s \in \mathrm{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$



Just multiple the received messages of the variable, and normalize as necessary!

- Why do we need normalization
  - Undirected graphical models (MRF)

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(\mathbf{x}_C)$$

The potentials are not normalization

  - Some nodes have been observed

We actually fix the value of the observed nodes in message computation

The Sum-Product Algorithm



$$\widetilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) = f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4)$$

The Sum-Product Algorithm: example



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{x_1 \to f_a}(x_1) &= 1 \\
\mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2) &= \sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2) \\
\mu_{x_4 \to f_c}(x_4) &= 1 \\
\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) &= \sum_{x_4} f_c(x_2, x_4) \\
\mu_{x_2 \to f_b}(x_2) &= \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) \\
\mu_{f_b \to x_3}(x_3) &= \sum_{x_2} f_b(x_2, x_3)\mu_{x_2 \to f_b}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The Sum-Product Algorithm: example



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{x_3 \to f_b}(x_3) &= 1 \\
\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) &= \sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3) \\
\mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2) &= \mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) \\
\mu_{f_a \to x_1}(x_1) &= \sum_{x_2} f_a(x_1, x_2)\mu_{x_2 \to f_a}(x_2) \\
\mu_{x_2 \to f_c}(x_2) &= \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2) \\
\mu_{f_c \to x_4}(x_4) &= \sum_{x_2} f_c(x_2, x_4)\mu_{x_2 \to f_c}(x_2).
\end{aligned}
$$

The Sum-Product Algorithm: example



$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{p}(x_2) &= \mu_{f_a \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_b \to x_2}(x_2)\mu_{f_c \to x_2}(x_2) \\
&= \left[\sum_{x_1} f_a(x_1, x_2)\right]\left[\sum_{x_3} f_b(x_2, x_3)\right]\left[\sum_{x_4} f_c(x_2, x_4)\right] \\
&= \sum_{x_1}\sum_{x_2}\sum_{x_4} f_a(x_1, x_2) f_b(x_2, x_3) f_c(x_2, x_4) \\
&= \sum_{x_1}\sum_{x_3}\sum_{x_4} \widetilde{p}(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

The Sum-Product Algorithm: implementation

- Step 1. Pick a root node x and arrange the graph into a tree

- Step 2.

  For each child factor f of x

  $\mu_{f \to x}(x)$ = Collect(f, x)

- Step 3.

  For each child factor f of x

  Distribute (x, f)

The Sum-Product Algorithm: implementation

Collect (x, f)

if x is a leaf, return 1

for each child factor f_j of x *(note: not including f)*

$\mu_{f_j \to x}(x)$ = Collect(f_j, x)

return $\prod_j \mu_{f_j \to x}(x)$

Collect (f, x)

if f is a leaf, return $f(x)$

for each child variable x_j of f *(note: not including x)*

$\mu_{x_j \to f}(x)$ = Collect(x_j, f)

return $\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_M} f(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) \prod_j \mu_{x_j \to f}(x)$

The Sum-Product Algorithm: implementation

FSU

Distribute (x, f)

compute and store $\mu_{x \to f}(x)$ directly

if f is a leaf, return

for each child variable x_j of f *(note: not including x)*

Distribute (f, x_j)

Distribute (f, x)

compute and store $\mu_{f \to x}(x)$ directly

if x is a leaf, return

for each child factor f_j of x *(note: not including f)*

Distribute(x, f_j)

What about general graphs

- In general graphs that contain cycles, sum-product *cannot guarantee exact inference*
- The exact inference on general graphs is called Junction tree algorithm
  - It first merges factors and turns the initial graph into a junction tree and then run a sum-product-like algorithm
  - Intractable on graphs with large factors

Loopy Belief Propagation (LBP)

- We can still apply sum-product on general graphs as an *approximate* inference algorithm
- First initialize all the messages with 1 (or random)
- Run sum-product (with any message passing order) repeatedly until convergence (not guaranteed!)
- Often works really well, sometimes totally fail
- Striking connections between LBP and decoding (turbo codes) in information theory

The max-sum algorithm

- A simple variant of the sum-product algorithm
- Objective: an efficient algorithm to find
  - The value $\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{max}}$ that maximizes $p(\boldsymbol{x})$
  - The value of $p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{max}})$
- Very important in many tasks, e.g., structure prediction, decision, ….

- In general, maximum marginals ≠ joint maximum

	$x = 0$	$x = 1$
$y = 0$	0.3	0.4
$y = 1$	0.3	0.0

$$\arg\max_x p(x, y) = 1 \qquad \arg\max_x p(x) = 0$$

Maximizing over a chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{x}^{\max}) &= \max_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{x_1} \dots \max_{x_M} p(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \max_{x_1} \cdots \max_{x_N} \left[\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \cdots \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \max_{x_1} \left[\max_{x_2} \left[\psi_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) \left[\cdots \max_{x_N} \psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N) \right] \cdots \right] \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Observation

- We still have the distributive law

$$\max(ab, ac) = a \max(b, c)$$

So we can simply replace sum by max in the sum-product algorithm!

Observation

- Generalizes to tree-structured factor graph

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{x_n} \prod_{f_s \in \text{ne}(x_n)} \max_{X_s} f_s(x_n, X_s)$$

Observation

- To enhance numerical stability, we take log

$$\ln\left(\max_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})\right) = \max_{\mathbf{x}} \ln p(\mathbf{x}).$$

The distributive law still holds

$$\max(a+b, a+c) = a + \max(b, c)$$

So we only need to replace sum by max, product by sum in the sum-product algorithm

The max-sum algorithm

Initialization message (leaf nodes)

$$\mu_{x \to f}(x) = 0 \qquad \mu_{f \to x}(x) = \ln f(x)$$

Message passing (recursively)

$$\mu_{f \to x}(x) = \max_{x_1, \ldots, x_M} \left[\ln f(x, x_1, \ldots, x_M) + \sum_{m \in \text{ne}(f_s) \setminus x} \mu_{x_m \to f}(x_m) \right]$$

$$\mu_{x \to f}(x) = \sum_{l \in \text{ne}(x) \setminus f} \mu_{f_l \to x}(x)$$

The max-sum algorithm

- First pass from leaves to the root and the second pass from the root to leaves
- Termination

$$p^{\max} = \max_x \left[\sum_{s \in \text{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \right]$$

$$x^{\max} = \arg\max_x \left[\sum_{s \in \text{ne}(x)} \mu_{f_s \to x}(x) \right]$$

The max-sum algorithm

- How to find the global configuration $\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{max}}$ that gives the maximum probability?
- We need to store a quantity to tell us how to trace back to the variable value that maximizes the previous sub-problem (back-tracking)
- So each message can contain two component: (1) the max-sum value (2) the variable value that gives the max-sum (i.e., argmax)

The max-sum algorithm



The max-sum algorithm

- This is essentially dynamic programming
- For hidden Markov models, this is known as Viterbi algorithm

What you need to know

- Factor graph definition
- Sum-product algorithm
- Message-passing
- Accurate for tree-structured graphs, not guaranteed to be accurate for graphs with cycles
- Loopy belief propagation
- Max-product algorithm, max-sum
- Be able to implement the algorithms!